

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 2nd Quarter '01 versus 2nd Quarter '02

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 64 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column B);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column A);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column C);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column D);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column G); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 2,680) and "total decrease" in ADP (-1,634). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 2,680 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 1,634 ADP. Subtracting 2,680 from 1,634 produces the overall increase of 1046 between the second quarter of 2001 and the second quarter of 2002.
2. The Los Angeles ADP increase of 494 is 18.4% of the total increase of 2,680. Thirty-four jurisdictions had increases (down to Oakland Police Department) with two jurisdictions reporting no increase (Mendocino and Sierra Sheriff's Departments). When you get to Sierra Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 2,680).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Plumas Sheriff's Department to Sacramento Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 1,634 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Los Angeles Sheriff's Department to San Francisco Sheriff's Department) is 60.8%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 61% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (Sacramento, Santa Clara and Fresno Sheriff's Departments) account for about 42% of the decreases. Please note that San Diego Probation Department will no longer be reporting on the Jail Profile Survey, which accounts for approximately nine percent (9%) of the total decrease.